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## Winchester-Hood Garden Homes

## New Peterson Metra Stop Gets $\$ 15 \mathrm{M}$ In State <br> Budget But Wheels Not Moving Yet

Submitted by admin on Fri, 08/11/2017-18:15


Five years after a new Metra stop in Edgewater was announced, the state budget includes some "potentially very good news" for Far North Side commuters - $\$ 15$ million for the long-awaited stop.
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## Block Dominoes

aka The Block Game

## Setup

Use double-six domino set (28 tiles) for 2-4 players.

Agree on game score goal, such as 100.
Shuffle all tiles, faced down (boneyard).


For 2 players, draw 7 tiles each. For 3 or 4 players, draw only 5 tiles each.

Remaining boneyard tiles go to side for next round.

Stand tiles on longest edge side facing you to hide value from other players.

## Gameplay

Player with highest double starts by placing tile in center. Play goes clockwise.


Next player matches one tile to either side of starting tile. Players continue matching tiles to open ends of tile chain.


Place double tiles as " T " joints. Tile chain can be bent to fit area of play.

Skip player that can't match either open end.
End round when one player gets rid of all tiles or when round is blocked-no player can match open-ended tiles.

Player with no tiles or lowest number of dots (pips) scores total of all players' pips, subtracting their own.

Start next round by shuffling all tiles, faced down.

Game ends when winner reaches game score goal.
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## EAST COAST

 REPORT
## of Recent Decisions

## Comparative Fault To Preclude Plaintiff Obtaining Summary Judgment

Consequential Damages From Subcontractor's Fault Workmanship Constitutes Property Damage

Thsurers Can Sue Third-Parties

To Recover Payments Made
To An Injured Worker,

80

New York Appellate Court Tightens
Reins On The Application Of Labor
Law §240 (1) In Falling Object Cases
by Serena A. Skala

In Seales v Trident Structural Corp., 2016 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6100, 2016 NY Slip Op 06204, a construction worker who was injured by a piece of falling sheetrock on a construction site brought an action against the site's owner and Trident Structural Corp., the contracto responsible for carpentry, structura work, framing, roofing and sheetrock installation on site, alleging violation of New York Labor Law \$\$240, 241(6) and 200 , and common law negligence.

At the time of the incident, Plaintiff was installing a new sprinkle system as part of a renovation and construction project at a building in New York. While ascending staircase from the fifth to the sixth floor, Plaintiff was hit in the head and rendered unconscious by a piece of falling sheetrock. Although Plaintiffs employer provided him with a hard hat, he was not wearing it at the time

Plaintiff moved for summary judgment on the issue of liability alleging a violation of Labor Law $\$ 240(1)$. The owners of the building cross-moved for summary judgment seeking the dismissal of the Complaint and all cross-claims asserted against them Trident, the owner of the sheetrock cross moved for summary judgment also seeking dismissal of the Complaint and all cross-claims. The lower court denied Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and denied the owners cross motion for summary judgment However, it granted Trident's cross motion for summary judgment and motion for summary judgment and
dismissed the Compliant and all cross
claims asserted against Trident. The owners and Plaintiff appealed.

The Appellate Court overturned the lower court's denial and granted the owners' motion for summary judgment dismissing Plaintiffs cause of action alleging a violation of Labo Law $\$ 240(1)$. Surprisingly, however the Court did not use the recalcitrant worker doctrine as a basis for the denial; instead, the Court followed the letter of the law stating that a plaintiff must show more than simply that an object fell. The Court held that Plaintiff must demonstrate that at the time the object fell, it eithe was being hoisted or secured, or required securing for the purposes of the undertaking. Notably, the Court held that Plaintiff must show that the object fell because of the absence or inadequacy of a safety device of the kind enumerated in the statute.

The Court also reversed the lowe court's dismissal of the Complaint against Trident. The Court stated that there were issues of fact as to whether Trident was an agent of the owner or a general contractor at the time of the incident.

Learning Point: Although Labor Law $\$ 240$ is broadly applied, a plaintiff must show more than simply that an object fell causing injury to a plaintiff In moving for summary judgment Labor Law Defendants should focus on the letter of the law as to whether the object required securing for the purposes of the job.
work encompass[ed] the overall construction of the Towers Grande, excluding the roof." Id. at *23. Thus, because the Underlying Complaint alleged that DiMucci's "defective work on one portion of the Towers also caused property damage to other portions of the building also constructed by [DiMucci]," the "your work" exclusion bars coverage As such, the District Court held that the insurer is not obligated to defend DiMucci in the Underlying Action. Id. Learning Point: When determining whether a "your work" exclusion applies to bar coverage, an insurer needs to closely examine the specific damages being alleged in the underlying complaint to determine whether they are
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## Chicago/ $\Delta$ orth Series

N to Evanston/Purple Line


This section of the Chicago Transit System connects the Purple Line from Evanston, Illinois to the State Street Subway.
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